



**KWAZULU-NATAL PROVINCE**

TRANSPORT  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

**DIRECTORATE:**

Financial Services

Private Bag X9043, PIETERMARITZBURG, 3200

Inkosi Mhlabunzima Maphumulo House, 172 Burger Street, Pietermaritzburg, 3201

Tel: 033 355 8600 Fax: 033 355 8737

**ADDENDUM NO. 01:**

**CONTRACT NO.: ZNB02197/00000/00/HOD/INF/21/T**

**ESTABLISHMENT OF A PANEL OF CONTRACTORS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW INFRASTRUCTURE, UPGRADES AND ROAD STRUCTURES ON VARIOUS ROADS, FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS.**

Date Issued:

This Addendum No. 01 comprises a total of **SEVEN (7) PAGES**, including this page.

The amendments required to the tender document are as follows:

Amendment No.	Amendment Required
1	a. Substitute Page T1 with the attached new Page T1A noting the following change: Change Closing date from 16 April 2023 to 16 April 2024
	b. Substitute Pages T11 to T14 with the attached new Pages T11A to T14A noting the following changes: Revision of notes to Functionality and removal of duplication of price and specific goals.
	c. Substitute Page T16 with the attached new Page T16A noting the following change: Alignment of page numbers on the contents page.

**Non-acknowledgment of this addendum will lead to disqualification.**

**The acknowledgment of this addendum must only be submitted with the bid document.**

Should you have any queries with regards to the above, please contact Mr. Sandile Gwambe or Mr Nqobile Zondo.

Yours faithfully

  
BSC Chairperson

I/We acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No.01 and have made the required alterations to our tender document.

BIDDER:.....

NAME OF SIGNATORY:.....

DATE:.....

Clause Number	Data								
C.3.11.1	<p>The scope of work for this contract is classified in the following table The evaluation of tender offers will be based on administrative compliance, functionality, price and preference in accordance with the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2022, the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Transport (KZNDOT) Interim Preferential Procurement Policy – January 2023, as amended and the CIDB Inform Practice Note #5, Version 3 - September 2020, or as amended.</p> <p><b>(a) Functionality (Returnable Schedule O –Technical Proposal - Grade 4CE or Higher</b> The scope of work for this contract is classified in the following table:</p> <p>NB: <i>Service Provider to choose either *Complex Work (New/Upgrade of Roads), **Specialist Work (New / Upgrade of Structures/Bridges) or both by Ticking the Box/Boxes relevant to the Service Providers preferences on Pages T11 &amp; T38 (Panel Categories). If both Work Types are chosen, Service Provider to provide returnable information for both Work Types.</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Please mark appropriately:</i></th> <th>YES / NO</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Simple/straightforward/routine work</b> - where the tasks or activities are of a straightforward nature in terms of which inputs are relatively well known and outputs can be readily defined.<i>(functionality in not required for Simple Work)</i></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>*Complex work</b> - characterised by requirements for higher levels of skills, greater resources or not well-defined inputs and outputs. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i></td> <td>YES <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>**Specialist work</b> - requiring considerable innovation, creativity, and expertise or skill (or both) or work that has a high downstream impact. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i></td> <td>YES <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Employer shall perform a risk analysis on the preferred tenderer to ascertain if any of the following might present an unacceptable commercial risk to the employer.            *Unduly high or unduly low tenderer rates or amounts in the tender offer            * Contract data provided by the tenderer or            * The contents of the tender returnable which are to be included in the contract.</p> <p>Should the tenderer pose a commercial risk to the employer, the offer will not be accepted.            The functionality criteria and weighting for each of the sub-criteria on which the Technical Proposal submitted with Returnable Schedule O will be evaluated, is indicated in the following table.</p>	<i>Please mark appropriately:</i>	YES / NO	<b>Simple/straightforward/routine work</b> - where the tasks or activities are of a straightforward nature in terms of which inputs are relatively well known and outputs can be readily defined. <i>(functionality in not required for Simple Work)</i>	NO	<b>*Complex work</b> - characterised by requirements for higher levels of skills, greater resources or not well-defined inputs and outputs. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>**Specialist work</b> - requiring considerable innovation, creativity, and expertise or skill (or both) or work that has a high downstream impact. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please mark appropriately:</i>	YES / NO								
<b>Simple/straightforward/routine work</b> - where the tasks or activities are of a straightforward nature in terms of which inputs are relatively well known and outputs can be readily defined. <i>(functionality in not required for Simple Work)</i>	NO								
<b>*Complex work</b> - characterised by requirements for higher levels of skills, greater resources or not well-defined inputs and outputs. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>**Specialist work</b> - requiring considerable innovation, creativity, and expertise or skill (or both) or work that has a high downstream impact. <i>(if this evaluation criteria is selected, please include functionality)</i>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>								

**FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION TABLES AND SCORES FOR GRADE 4CE OR HIGHER:**

**A. - Functionality Criteria and Points For New & Upgrade Projects to Roads (Complex) & Structures (Specialist) (CIDB Grade 4CE or Higher)**

Criterion	Possible Full Points
Key Personnel	40
Experience of the Bidder	60
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>

Bidders must score a minimum of 60% to pass functionality evaluation.

Note: All personnel to be in employ of the bidding enterprise. Bidding with multiple enterprises will not be allowed. The Department will disregard all experience of duplicated personnel. The Department reserve the right to assess the functionality ongoing to ensure compliance. The Department must be apprised immediately if there is any change to the personnel identified in the tender document. Failure to comply with this requirement shall lead to contract termination. Any replacement must have equal or higher experience.

(b) Price and Specific Goals (This will be evaluated at quotation stage)

Tenders will be evaluated on preference (specific goals) in accordance with the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2022 and KZNDOT Interim Preferential Procurement Policy-January 2023.

Specific goals points must be claimed using Returnable Schedule D - SDB 6.1 in accordance with the tenderer's Company and Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC) company registration documents, Central Supplier Database report, B-BBEE status level of contributor or Sworn affidavit as per construction sector code and proof of participation on job creation that is provided as returnable schedule K. The Acceptable Proof for the Allocation of Specific Goals Points, as detailed in Section Q - Acceptable Proof & Right to Award, must be attached to Returnable Schedule D - SDB6.1. For proof of disability, an official letter from a doctor certified by the Department of Health Occupational Doctor confirming the disability is required to be attached.

The tenderer's B-BBEE Status Level Verification Certificate must comply with the requirements of Returnable Schedule E and be submitted as an attachment to the returnable schedule.

The Employer may perform a risk analysis on the preferred tenderer as per the provisions of Section 3.6 of the CIDB Inform Practice Note #5 Version 3 - September 2020 or as amended.

Only the tender with the highest number of points may be selected, except in those instances permissible by legislation, practice notes or relevant policies.

## T2.2 RETURNABLE SCHEDULES

A	NON-COMPULSORY VIRTUAL BRIEFING SESSION .....	T17
B	RECORD OF ADDENDA TO TENDER DOCUMENTS .....	T18
C	COMPULSORY ENTERPRISE QUESTIONNAIRE.....	T19
D	STANDARD BIDDING DOCUMENTS .....	T20
	SBD 1: INVITATION TO BID .....	T20
	SBD 4: BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE .....	T22
E	B-BBEE VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE ..... N/A AT THIS STAGE.....	T24
F	CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY FOR SIGNATORY.....	T25
G	CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE .....	T27
H	KEY PERSONNEL.....	T28
L	BIDDERS HEALTH AND SAFETY DECLARATION.....N/A AT THIS STAGE.....	T31
J	NOTICES TO TENDERERS REGARDING THE COMPLETION OF FORMS .....	T33
K	ACCEPTABLE PROOF & RIGHT TO AWARD .....	T34
L	RANKING BY DISTRICT AREA.....	T36
M	PANEL CATEGORIES .....	T38

**NOTE:** The Tenderer is required to complete each schedule and form listed above to the best of his ability as the evaluation of tenders and the eventual contract will be based on the information provided by the Tenderer. Failure of a Tenderer to complete the schedules and forms to the satisfaction of the Employer will lead to rejection on the grounds that the tender is non-responsive.